| Reading Grade-Level Comparison Chart | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------|------|
| | Oral reading Fluency | | | | Lexile Level | | | DRA Level | | |
| Grade | | WCPM | WCPM | WCPM | Below Grade | | Above | | | |
| Level | Precentile | Beginning | Med. | End | Level | Grade Level | | Beginning | Med. | End |
| Level | Precentile | Degiiiiiig | ivicu. | Liiu | Level | Grade Lever | Grade Level | begiiiiiiig | weu. | Ellu |
| Kinder. | | | | | | | | A & 1 | 2 & 3 | 4 |
| 1st | 90 | | 81 | 111 | 99 & below | 100-299 | 300 & above | 6,8 | 10,12,14 | 16 |
| | 75 | | 47 | 82 | | | | | | |
| | 50 | | 23 | 53 | | | | | | |
| | 25 | | 12 | 28 | | | | | | |
| | 10 | | 6 | 15 | | | | | | |
| 2nd | 90 | 106 | 125 | 142 | 299 & below | 300-499 | 500 & above | 18 | 20,24 | 28 |
| | 75 | 79 | 100 | 117 | | | | | | |
| | 50 | 51 | 72 | 89 | | | | | | |
| | 25 | 25 | 42 | 61 | | | | | | |
| | 10 | 11 | 18 | 31 | | | | | | |
| 3rd | 90 | 128 | 146 | 162 | 499 & below | 500-599 | 600 & above | 28-30 | 34 | 38 |
| | 75 | 99 | 120 | 137 | | | | | | |
| | 50 | 71 | 92 | 107 | | | | | | |
| | 25 | 44 | 62 | 78 | | | | | | |
| | 10 | 21 | 36 | 48 | | | | | | |
| 4th | 90 | 145 | 166 | 180 | 599 & below | 600-699 | 700 & above | | | |
| | 75 50 | 119 | 139 | 152 | | | | | 40.44 | |
| | 50 25 | 94 68 | 112 87 | 123 98 | | | | 1 | 40-44 | |
| | 10 | 45 | 61 | 72 | | | | | | |
| 5th | 90 | 166 | 182 | 194 | 699 & below | 700-799 | 800 & above | | 50 | |
| | 75 | 139 | 156 | 168 | | | | | | |
| | 50 | 110 | 127 | 139 | | | | | | |
| | 25 | 85 | 99 | 109 | | | | | | |
| | 10 | 61 | 74 | 83 | | | | | | |
| 6th | 90 | 177 | 195 | 204 | 799 & below | 800-849 | 850 & above | | | |
| | 75 | 153 | 167 | 177 | | | | | | |
| | 50 | 127 | 140 | 150 | | | | | 60 | |
| | 25 | 98 | 111 | 122 | | | | | | |
| | 10 | 68 | 82 | 93 | | | | | | |
| 7th | 90 | 180 | 195 | 202 | 849 & below | 850-899 | 900 & above | | 70 | |
| | 75 | 156 | 165 | 177 | | | | | | |
| | 50 | 128 | 136 | 150 | | | | | | |
| | 25 | 102 | 109 | 123 | | | | | | |
| | 10 | 79 | 88 | 98 | | | | | | |
| 8th | 90 | 185 | 199 | 199 | 899 & below | 900-99 | 1000 & above | | | |
| | 75 | 161 | 177 | 177 | | | | | | |
| | 50 | 133 | 151 | 151 | | | | | 80 | |
| | 25 | 106 | 124 | 124 | | | | | | |
| | 10 | 77 | 97 | 97 | | | | | | |

2006 Hasbrouck & Tindal Oral Reading Fluency Data

Jan Hasbrouck and Gerald Tindal have completed an extensive study of oral reading fluency. The results of their study were published in a technical report entitled, "Oral Reading Fluency: 90 Years of Measurement," which is available on the University of Oregon's website, brt.uoregon.edu/tech_reports.htm, and in The Reading Teacher in 2006 (Hasbrouck, J. & Tindal, G. A. (2006). Oral reading fluency norms: A valuable assessment tool for reading teachers.

The Reading Teacher. 59(7), 636-644.).

The table below shows the mean oral reading fluency of students in grades 1 through 8 as determined by Hasbrouck and Tindal's data. You can use the information in this table to draw conclusions and make decisions about the oral reading fluency of your students. **Students scoring 10 or more words below the 50th percentile using the average score of two unpracticed readings from grade-level materials need a fluencybuilding program.** In addition, teachers can use the table to set the long-term fluency goals for their struggling readers. **Average weekly improvement** is the average words per week growth you can expect from a student. It was calculated by subtracting the fall score from the spring score and dividing the difference by 32, the typical number of weeks between the fall and spring assessments. For grade 1, since there is no fall assessment, the average weekly improvement was calculated by subtracting the winter score from the spring score and dividing the difference by 16, the typical number of weeks between the winter and spring assessments.

Interpreting screening scores using the ORF norms: Grade 1. In general, first-grade students who are reading 40 or more WCPM on unpracticed text passages are by the end of the year at low risk of future reading difficulty, while students below 40 WCPM are at some risk, and students reading below 20 WCPM are at high risk of failure. We recommend following these guidelines for interpreting first-grade scores. research by Good, Simmons, Kame'enui, Kaminski, & Wallin(2002)

Interpreting screening scores using the ORF norms: Grades 2-8. To determine if a student may be having difficulties with reading, the teacher compares the student's WCPM score to the scores from that student's grade level at the closest time period: fall, winter, or spring. On the basis of our field experiences with interpreting ORF screening scores, we recommend that a score falling withing 10 words above or below the 50th percentile should be interpreted as withing the normal, expected, and approriate range for a student at the grade level at that time of year, at least for students in grades 2-8.

(source: J. Hasbrouck & G.A. Tindal, *The Reading Teacher, April, 2006*)